

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 03632 01 OF 02 161855Z

66

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-02 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

CU-02 SS-15 NSC-05 AF-06 NEA-10 MMS-01 /090 W

----- 060733

R 161740Z MAY 75

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3009

INFO ALL CSCE CAPITALS 291

USMISSION USUN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 1 OF 2 GENEVA 3632

EO: 11652: N/A

TAGS: CSCE XG

SUBJ: CSCE: ASSESSMENT OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS ASPECT

1. SUMMARY: IN RESPONSE TO INTEREST EXPRESSED BY DEPARTMENT, USDEL CSCE HAS ATTEMPTED A PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS ASPECTS OF THE VARIOUS CSCE TEXTS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE TRADITIONAL USG APPROACH TO THIS SUBJECT AND OF THE CSCE EXERCISE AS A WHOLE. THE STILL UNFINISHED NATURE OF MANY TEXTS AND THE UNANSWERED QUESTIONS RELATED TO THE CONCLUSION OF THE CONFERENCE MILITATE AGAINST A MORE THOROUGH OR DEFINITIVE ASSESSMENT AT THIS POINT, BUT ENOUGH HAS ALREADY BEEN DONE TO PERMIT SOME GENERAL CONCLUSIONS. THIS TELEGRAM GIVES OUR ANALYSIS AND SUMMARIZES OUR CONCLUSIONS. END SUMMARY.

2. OUR IMPRESSION HAS BEEN THAT THE US HAS TRADITIONALLY SOUGHT TO SUPPORT DEVELOPMENT OF THE CONCEPT THAT HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS ARE PROPER SUBJECTS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW AND MULTILATERAL CONCERN WHILE SEEKING TO AVOID COMMITMENT TO BINDING AGREEMENTS THAT MIGHT EITHER UPGRADE STATE SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS AT THE EXPENSE OF GENERAL HUMAN RIGHTS PRINCIPLES OR PROVIDE MEANS FOR UNBALANCED OR POLITICALLY MOTIVATED INTERFERENCE IN LEGITIMATE US INTERNAL AFFAIRS. THE US THUS STRONGLY SUPPORTED

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 03632 01 OF 02 161855Z

THE PROMULGATION BY THE UNITED NATIONS IN 1948 OF THE UNIVERSAL

DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS WITH ITS UNEQUIVOCAL STATEMENT OF A "COMMON STANDARD OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR ALL PEOPLES AND ALL NATIONS...", AND WE HAVE SUPPORTED THE DEVELOPING CONSENSUS, AT LEAST IN WESTERN LEGAL THINKING, THAT THE RIGHTS IT SETS FORTH HAVE COME TO PARTAKE OF THE CHARACTER OF GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW AND CUSTOM. WE HAVE NOT, HOWEVER, BECOME PARTY TO THE INTERNATIONAL COVENANTS ON ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS AND ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS, WHICH SET A RELATIVELY LOW STANDARD OF RIGHTS AND PROVIDE PARTIES WITH LEGAL ESCAPE CLAUSES IN THE INTEREST OF "PROMOTING THE GENERAL WELFARE IN A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY" OR OF PREVENTING INDIVIDUALS FROM UNDERTAKING ACTION DEEMED BY THE STATE TO BE "AIMED AT THE DESTRUCTION OF ANY OF THE RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS RECOGNIZED..." WE HAVE ALSO NOT RATIFIED A SERIES OF HUMAN RIGHTS CONVENTIONS PREPARED IN THE UN (GENOCIDE, RACIAL DISCRIMINATION, ETC.) IN PART AT LEAST BECAUSE OF A CONCERN THAT SPECIFIC ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS CONTAINED THEREIN MIGHT BE UTILIZED UNFAIRLY FOR POLITICAL PURPOSES BY OTHER STATES IN AREAS REGARDED AS FALLING ESSENTIALLY WITHIN OUR DOMESTIC JURISDICTION. THE US APPROACH HAS THUS BEEN A COMBINATION OF IDEALISM AND PRAGMATISM WHICH HAS AIMED AT THE ADVANCEMENT OF HUMAN RIGHTS CONCERNS WHILE RECOGNIZING A CERTAIN RESTRAINT WHERE THOSE CONCERNS WERE LINKED DIRECTLY WITH POLITICAL QUESTIONS.

3. CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS PORTION OF THE CSCE PACKAGE CONFORM CLOSELY TO THIS TRADITIONAL APPROACH. THE SEVENTH OF THE PRINCIPLES GUIDING THE RELATIONS OF THE PARTICIPATING STATES IS "RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS, INCLUDING THE FREEDOM OF THOUGHT, CONSCIENCE, RELIGION OR BELIEF." THIS PRINCIPLE FOLLOWS FAIRLY CLOSELY THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION PRACTICE OF STATING UNEQUIVOCALLY THAT THE PARTICIPATING STATES WILL PROVIDE THEIR PEOPLES VARIOUS BASIC RIGHTS INCLUDING NOT ONLY THE CLASSICAL, AND ESSENTIALLY POLITICAL, RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS LISTED IN THE DESCRIPTIVE TITLE WRITTEN INTO THE BLUE BOOK AT STAGE I OF THE CONFERENCE, BUT ALSO ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL RIGHTS. THERE IS A SENTENCE NOTING THAT THESE RIGHTS DERIVE NOT FROM THE STATE OR FROM A CITIZEN'S DUTY TO THE STATE BUT "FROM THE INHERENT DIGNITY OF THE HUMAN PERSON AND ARE ESSENTIAL FOR HIS FULL AND FREE DEVELOPMENT." "THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION ITSELF IS GIVEN PRIDE OF PLACE WITH A STATEMENT THAT "IN THE FIELD OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS" THE PARTICIPATING STATES LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GENEVA 03632 01 OF 02 161855Z

WILL ACT IN CONFORMITY WITH IT. THE REFERENCE TO THE COVENANTS, ON WHICH THE SOVIETS INSISTED, IS GIVEN CLEARLY SECONDARY TREATMENT, RELEGATED TO A SEPARATE SENTENCY WHICH IS OPEN TO THE INTERPRETATION THAT IT IS ONLY THE OBLIGATIONS OF THE COVENANTS (FOR THOSE STATES THAT ARE PARTIES TO THEM) THAT ARE REFERENCED, NOT THE CLAUSES WHICH PROVIDE OPPORTUNITY FOR ESCAPE FROM THOSE OBLIGATIONS. THE PRINCIPLE ALSO IS CONSISTENT WITH US PRACTICE IN THAT IT, LIKE THE OTHER PARTS OF THE PRINCIPLES DECLARATION,

EXPRESSES A POLITICAL DETERMINATION RATHER THAN A LEGAL OBLIGATION.

4. THE HUMAN RIGHTS PRINCIPLE, HOWEVER, WHILE FORMULATED IN A TRADITIONAL WAY INTENDED TO INDICATE THAT IT IS A REAFFIRMATION OF FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS FOR THE INDIVIDUAL RATHER THAN A FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL LAW, IS, LIKE OTHER SUCH AFFIRMATIONS, INCLUDING THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION, VERY GENERAL IN NATURE. BECAUSE OF THE HISTORY OF SUCH AFFIRMATIONS, AND THE NON-BINDING NATURE OF THE PRINCIPLE, IT CANNOT BE EXPECTED THAT IT WILL PRODUCE IN ITSELF IMPROVEMENTS IN STATE PRACTICE. AT MOST IT CAN PROVIDE FOR HANDY REFERENCE A YARDSTICK AGAINST WHICH THE SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE OF PARTICIPATING STATES MIGHT BE JUDGED. THERE ARE, HOWEVER, MORE INNOVATIVE HUMAN RIGHTS ASPECTS IN BASKET III TEXTS WHERE AN EFFORT HAS BEEN MADE TO DEAL WITH SPECIFICS ALBEIT IN A CIRCUMSCRIBED MANNER.

5. THE US REP (FORMER SECRETARY ROGERS) OUTLINED US HUMAN RIGHTS OBJECTIVES IN HIS ADDRESS TO THE FIRST STAGE OF THE CONFERENCE AS FOLLOWS: "A FUNDAMENTAL ASPECT OF OUR COMMITMENT IS OUTLINED IN SECTION III OF THE FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS. I REFER, OF COURSE, TO THE LOWERING OF BARRIERS TO THE FREER FLOW OF PEOPLE, INFORMATION AND IDEAS AMONG THE PARTICIPATING STATES. THIS ASPECT OF OUR WORK STEMS FROM THE IMPORTANCE WE ATTACH TO HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS. THERE ARE FEW WORDS THAT ARE SO FILLED WITH MEANING, SO VENERATED BY PEOPLE EVERYWHERE, AS THE WORDS "HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS". BUT SECTION III COULD TURN OUT TO BE A SAD FOOTNOTE IN FUTURE HISTORY BOOKS UNLESS THE COMMITTEE FINDS CONCRETE WAYS TO EMBODY THE CONCEPTS CONTAINED THEREIN SO THAT EVERYDAY LIVES OF PEOPLE ARE FAVORABLY AFFECTED. I HAVE IN MIND HERE, FOR EXAMPLE, PROPOSALS FOR ARRANGEMENTS THAT WILL PERMIT THE REUNIFICATION OF FAMILIES, MORE REGULAR VISITS BETWEEN THE MEMBERS OF DIVIDED FAMILIES, NEW WAYS OF SHARING EXPERIENCES

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 GENEVA 03632 01 OF 02 161855Z

IN VARIOUS FIELDS OF PROFESSIONAL AND INTELLECTUAL ENDEAVOR, AND PROMOTING CLOSER LINKS BETWEEN OUR YOUNG PEOPLE".

6. WITH THESE COMMENTS AS A GUIDE, IT WOULD APPEAR THAT BASKET III CAN CLAIM A MIXED RECORD. THERE ARE TEXTS ON THE VARIOUS PROPOSALS. EACH EXPRESSES AN INTENTION OR A DETERMINATION TO DO SPECIFIC THINGS, TO IMPROVE AN EXISTING SITUATION. EACH, HOWEVER, CONTAINS LANGUAGE INSISTED UPON BY THE SOVIETS WHICH PROVIDED THE POSSIBILITY OF ESCAPE FROM SUCH PROMISES AS MIGHT APPEAR BURDENSOME WHEN VIEWED AT A LATER TIME THROUGH A PRISM OF STATE INTEREST. NONE PROVIDES THE TYPE OF OBJECTIVE YARDSTICK (A PARTICULAR NUMBER OF VISAS TO BE ISSUED, FAMILIES TO BE UNITED, OR JOURNALISTS TO BE AUTHORIZED TRIPS, ETC.) THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR AN EASY MEASUREMENT OF COMPLIANCE OR OF GAINS AND LOSSES. AS AN EXAMPLE, THE TEXT ON FAMILY REUNIFICATION INDICATES THAT PARTICIPATING STATES

WILL:

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 03632 02 OF 02 161927Z

66

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-02 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

CU-02 SS-15 NSC-05 AF-06 NEA-10 MMS-01 /090 W
----- 061365

R 161740Z MAY 75

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3010

INFO ALL CSCE CAPITALS 292

USMISSION USUN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 2 OF 2 GENEVA 3632

--"DEAL IN A POSITIVE AND HUMANITARIAN SPIRIT WITH THE APPLICATIONS OF PERSONS WHO WISH TO BE REUNITED WITH MEMBERS OF THEIR FAMILY". IT DOES NOT PROMISE THAT THE RESULTS WILL BE POSITIVE.
-- PAY "SPECIAL ATTENTION" TO "REQUESTS OF AN URGENT CHARACTER -- SUCH AS REQUESTS SUBMITTED BY PERSONS WHO ARE ILL OR OLD". THIS ESSENTIALLY POSITIVE STATEMENT SUGGESTS THE POSSIBILITY, HOWEVER, OF DISCRIMINATION AGAINST REQUESTORS WHO ARE NEITHER ILL NOR OLD, PRECISELY THE REQUESTORS WHO MIGHT BE EXPECTED TO HAVE MOST DIFFICULTY GETTING PERMISSION TO LEAVE AN EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRY.
-- "DEAL WITH APPLICATIONS IN THIS FIELD AS EXPEDITIOUSLY AS POSSIBLE", LEAVING A HEDGE FOR WHAT THE STATE CONSIDERS BOTH POSSIBLE AND EXPEDITIOUS.
-- WILL PERMIT APPLICATIONS WHICH ARE NOT GRANTED TO "BE RENEWED AT THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL AND BE RECONSIDERED AT REASONABLY SHORT INTERVALS." THIS, OF COURSE, EXPLICITLY ENVISAGES THAT APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE APPROVED IN ALL CASES DESPITE THE CLEAR STATEMENT IN THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION THAT EVERY PERSON HAS THE RIGHT TO LEAVE HIS COUNTRY.

7. THE TEXT ON FAMILY REUNIFICATION, THEN, WHICH IS ESSENTIALLY TYPICAL OF THE VARIOUS HUMAN RIGHTS PROVISIONS OF BASKET III, IS POSITIVE IN TONE AND HOPEFUL IN CONTENT BUT PROVIDES ONLY A GEN-

ERAL BASIS FOR CITING IN CONNECTION WITH A COMPLAINT AGAINST NEGA-
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 03632 02 OF 02 161927Z

TIVE STATE ACTION ON ANY SINGLE APPLICATION. (THE EXCEPTION IS
ITS FLAT STATEMENT THAT "THE PRESENTATION OF AN APPLICATION CON-
CERNING FAMILY REUNIFICATION WILL NOT MODIFY THE RIGHTS AND OBLI-
GATIONS OF THE APPLICANT OR OF MEMBERS OF HIS FAMILY", BUT EVEN
THIS REQUIRES A LARGELY SUBJECTIVE DETERMINATION, EXCEPT IN A CASE
OF GROSS ABUSE, AS TO WHEN AN INDIVIDUAL HAS BEEN DISADVANTAGED
BECAUSE OF HIS EFFORT TO ASSERT THE RIGHT OF IMMIGRATION.) WHILE
A PATTERN OF NEGATIVE STATE ACTION, IF IT COULD BE PROVED, MIGHT
WELL LEAD TO AN OBJECTIVE JUDGMENT THAT THE PROVISIONS OF THE
TEXT WERE NOT BEING ADHERED TO, THERE COULD BE CONSIDERABLE DIS-
AGREEMENT ABOUT THE THRESHOLD LEVEL FOR SUCH A DETERMINATION.
SIMILAR ANALYSES CAN BE MADE OF OTHER TEXTS ON ACCESS TO INFORMA-
TION AND JOURNALISTS AND CULTURAL ACTIVITIES NOT DIRECTLY CITED BY
THE US REPRESENTATIVE AT THE OPENING STAGE OF THE CONFERENCE BUT
ALSO RELEVANT TO THE PRACTICAL EXERCISE OF THE FUNDAMENTAL FREE-
DOMS REAFFIRMED IN THE PRINCIPLES DECLARATION. EACH TEXT, OF
COURSE, LIKE ALL THOSE PRODUCED AT THE CONFERENCE ALSO PROVIDES
AT MOST POLITICAL RATHER THAN A LEGALLY BINDING COMMITMENT.

8. OBVIOUSLY, THEN, MUCH WILL DEPEND UPON THE SPIRIT WITH WHICH
THE EASTERN EUROPEANS APPROACH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE TEXTS.
NO CLEAR ASSESSMENT CAN BE MADE OF THIS VITAL POINT UNTIL SOME
TIME AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE CONFERENCE. WE MAY GAIN SOME
INSIGHT, HOWEVER, BY EXAMINING THE LINKS BETWEEN THE PRINCIPLES
DECLARATION AND BASKET III. THESE LINKAGES ARE FREQUENT. TO
BEGIN WITH, OF COURSE, THERE IS THE FACT THAT ONE OF THE PRINCI-
PLES DEALS SPECIFICALLY WITH HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREE-
DOMS. TO THE EXTENT THAT THE SOVIETS MIGHT BE TEMPTED TO PLACE
PART OF THE RESULTS OF THE CONFERENCE AT A HIGHER LEVEL THAN
OTHER RESULTS, TO THE DISADVANTAGE OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS PROVISIONS,
THEIR TASK WOULD BE MADE MORE DIFFICULT BY THE INCLUSION OF THIS
PRINCIPLE AND BY THE INCLUSION OF LANGUAGE IN THE PRINCIPLES DE-
CLARATION TO THE EFFECT THAT ALL OF THE PRINCIPLES ARE OF PRIMARY
SIGNIFICANCE AND ARE TO BE APPLIED EQUALLY AND UNRESERVEDLY WITH
THE INTERPRETATION OF EACH TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE OTHERS. ADDI-
TIONALLY THE PREAMBLE TO THE BASKET III RESOLUTIONS REFERS BACK
TO THE PRINCIPLES DECLARATION, AND THE RESOLUTIONS IN THAT BASKET
THEMSELVES MAKE CONNECTIONS BETWEEN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE HU-
MAN RIGHTS-RELATED ACTIVITIES AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF ATTITUDES
CONDUCTIVE TO PEACE AND SECURITY.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GENEVA 03632 02 OF 02 161927Z

9. THIS LINKAGE, OF COURSE, IS ITSELF SOMETHING OF A TWO-EDGED SWORD. IT LEAVES OPEN TO THE SOVIETS THE POSSIBILITY OF ARGUING AT A GIVEN POINT IN TIME THAT SOME SLIPPAGE IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CONCRETE HUMAN RIGHTS PROPOSALS IS INEVITABLE BECAUSE OF DETERIORATION IN RELATIONS BETWEEN THE PARTICIPATING STATES, OR, PERHAPS MORE OMINOUSLY, THAT SUCH SLIPPAGE IS PERMISSIBLE IF, IN VIEW OF ONE STATE, THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A GIVEN MEASURE IN THE FASHION DESIRED BY ANOTHER STATE WOULD INCREASE TENSION AND THEREFORE IMPAIR THE OVERALL OBJECTIVE OF STRENGTHENING FRIENDLY RELATIONS, SECURITY AND COOPERATION. ("CONSCIOUS THAT THE QUESTIONS RELEVANT HERETO MUST BE SETTLED BY THE STATES CONCERNED UNDER MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE CONDITIONS", AS STATED IN THE INTRODUCTION TO THE BASKET III SECTION ON HUMAN CONTACTS.)

10. ON BALANCE, HOWEVER, IT WOULD APPEAR THAT THE US HAS AT LEAST AS MUCH TO HOPE FOR FROM THIS LINKAGE AS TO FEAR IN THE HUMAN RIGHTS FIELD. THE WESTERN DELEGATIONS HAVE SOUGHT TO MAKE THE TEXTS REFLECT THEIR VIEW THAT INCREASINGLY EFFECTIVE PRACTICAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE CONTACTS AND PERMIT THE EXERCISE OF FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS IS NOT MERELY A BY-PRODUCT OF DETENTE BUT IS ITSELF A CONTRIBUTOR TO FURTHERANCE OF DETENTE. AT LEAST AS MEANINGFUL AS THE SPECIFIC FORMULATIONS IN THE TEXTS, HOWEVER, IS THE MANNER IN WHICH THE HUMAN RIGHTS TEXTS HAVE BEEN NEGOTIATED. THAT THE CONFERENCE HAS LASTED TWO YEARS RATHER THAN A FEW MONTHS AS ORIGINALLY EXPECTED IS ATTRIBUTABLE IN LARGE PART TO THE STUBBORNNESS WITH WHICH THE WEST (AND THE NEUTRALS) HAVE INSISTED THAT THE FINAL DOCUMENT REFLECT SUBSTANTIALLY THE HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS CONCEPTS SKETCHED BY THE US DURING STAGE I. THE POINT IS UNLIKELY TO HAVE BEEN LOST BY THE SOVIET UNION: IF IT WISHES TO BUILD ON THE PRODUCT OF THE CSCE IT WILL HAVE TO BE PREPARED TO MAKE SOME MOVEMENT IN THE DIRECTION OF POSITIVE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS PROVISIONS. AT THE VERY LEAST THE WEST HAS SERVED NOTICE THAT IT ATTACHES CONSIDERABLE SIGNIFICANCE TO THESE PROVISIONS, AND THIS IS PERHAPS THE MOST SIGNIFICANT ASPECT OF THE CONFERENCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS. WHILE THE GENERAL REAFFIRMATION IN THE PRINCIPLES DECLARATION WILL NOT OF ITSELF COMMIT THE SOVIETS TO ANYTHING AND THE MORE SPECIFIC TEXTS OF BASKET III DEAL ONLY IN A LIMITED WAY WITH LIMITED SUBJECTS, THE US AND ITS ALLIES HAVE, ALMOST UNIQUELY, PRODUCED A MAJOR MULTILATERAL DOCUMENT THE POLITICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF WHICH FOR THE FUTURE WILL HINGE TO A CONSIDERABLE EXTENT UPON THE DEGREE TO WHICH "EVERYDAY LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 GENEVA 03632 02 OF 02 161927Z

LIVES OF PEOPLE ARE FAVORABLY AFFECTED." (SIGNIFICANTLY, THE UN'S FRIENDLY RELATIONS DECLARATION OF 1970 DID NOT INCLUDE A PRINCIPLE ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS.) IF THOSE LIVES ARE NOT FAVORABLY AFFECTED, IF THE DOCUMENT IS LAWYERED TO DEATH BY THE SOVIETS IN ITS IMPLEMENTATION, THOSE ELEMENTS OF GREATEST INTEREST TO THEM MUST ALMOST INEVITABLY SUFFER. THIS IS A MORE DYNAMIC APPROACH TO HUMAN RIGHTS QUESTIONS THAN THE MORE TRADI-

TIONAL US APPROACH UNDER WHICH THE RELEVANT DOCUMENTS (FOR EXAMPLE THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION) WERE INTENDED TO STAND LARGELY APART FROM POLITICS AND TO CONTAIN THEIR SIGNIFICANCE WITHIN THEMSELVES. ARGUABLY, AT LEAST, BECAUSE OF THE INTERESTS IT ENGAGES ON THE SOVIET SIDE, IT MAY PROVE MORE PRODUCTIVE. WHETHER IT DOES OR NOT MAY ULTIMATELY DEPEND LESS UPON THE PRECISION OR COMPLETENESS OF THE SPECIFIC TEXTS THAN UPON THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE US AND ITS ALLIES MONITOR THE SPIRIT OF IMPLEMENTATION AND CONTINUE TO SEND THE SAME SIGNALS TO THE SOVIETS ON THE MEANING THEY ATTACH TO POSITIVE PRACTICAL DEVELOPMENTS IN THIS FIELD THAT THEY HAVE SENT DURING THE NEGOTIATION.DALE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: COLLECTIVE SECURITY, HUMAN RIGHTS, MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 16 MAY 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ElyME
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975GENEVA03632
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750173-0475
From: GENEVA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750546/aaaabpcw.tel
Line Count: 342
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 7
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ElyME
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 18 JUN 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <18 JUN 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <03 NOV 2003 by ElyME>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CSCE: ASSESSMENT OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS ASPECT
TAGS: MARR, XG, CSCE
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006